



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner was unclear to applicants intended meaning, in claim one, as it relates to the amended language stating "without producing a real image of the image generation arrangement" in the second mode. This was unclear for three reasons. First, in line 3 of the claim 1, applicant claims to view the images of the image generator when operated in either or both of two modes. Secondly, the specification provides a real image is used to produce the projected virtual and real images in the application. Thirdly, Applicant's remarks at page 8 state "Popovich produces a virtual image of a real image projected onto an intermediate screen". Thus, the applicant's remarks imply a real image source should not be used to produce a virtual image. Applicant meant for the language to provide structure of the invention that teaches projection of a image into a viewers eye to create the virtual image without using any reflective optical structures. Applicant agreed the amended claim language was unclear (indefinite) and the claim did not have sufficient structure to over come Popovich(WO OO/07058). Applicant will file an amendment to include the discussed structure .